

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,004	04/23/2004	Asano Tosiya	03560.003454	7655
5514	2590 12/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER	HOANG, ANN THI		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		er en	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	2836	

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/830,004	TOSIYA, ASANO		
Examiner	Art Unit		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ann T. Hoang	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN			
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			00000			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.4.		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)			
		mphant Amendment	(F10L-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	anowable it subtritted it a separate,	unicly med amending	one cancoming the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 7-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
			~			
		Bu				
		PLIDTON	MI H LINIO			

BURTON S. MULLINS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The arguments are not persuasive and the claims, as amended, do not overcome the art. The magnetic guiding apparatus of Boon et al. in view of Nakasuji, Ha et al., and Kikuchi et al., provides a magnetic-flux detection means on the guided moving member, for detecting a magnetic flux along the length of the target during movement of the moving member along the length of the sliding member.